IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK CASE NO. 14 CR 810-10 (CM)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V. JOSEPH GRAY	ORDER ON MOTION FOR REDUCTION IN SENTENCE UNDER 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A) (COMPASSIONATE RELEASE)		
Upon motion of ☑ the defendant □ the Director o	f the Bureau of Prisons for a reduction		
in sentence pursuant to 18 U.S.C. \S 3582(c)(1)(A), and after considering the applicable factors set			
forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) and the applicable policy statements issued by the Sentencing			
Commission to the extent they are relevant to whether a deduction is warranted (and, if so, the			
amount of the reduction),			
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:			
☐ GRANTED ☐ The defendant's previously imposed sentence of	f imprisonment of		
is reduced to; or			
☐ Time served:			
☐ The defendant is to remain in I	Bureau of Prisons custody until the		
defendant's residence can be verified or a release plan can be developed.			

Court, or

immediately.

Additional custody shall not exceed _____ days unless extended by the

An appropriate release plan is in place and the defendant shall be released

□ DEFERRED	pending supplemental briefing and/or a hearing.	. The court DIRECTS the United
States Attorney	to file a response on or before	, along with all Bureau of
Prisons records	[medical, institutional, administrative] supporti	ing the approval or denial of this
motion.		

☐ DENIED after complete review of the motion on the merks.

☐ FACTORS CONSIDERED (Optional)	

Case 1:14-cr-00810-CM Document 507 Filed 04/22/20 Page 3 of 3

DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE for failure to exhaust remedies (failure to fully

exhaust all administrative rights to appeal a failure of the Bureau of Prisons to bring a motion on

the defendant's behalf; the lapse of 30 days from the receipt of such a request by the Warden,

whichever is earlier).

Gray filed his motion for compassionate release in this court on April 6, 2020. His motion

makes no mention of having sought administrative relief from the Bureau of Prisons on

compassionate release grounds. However, the Government in its response to the motion says that

it learned that Gray had indeed made a request for compassionate relief in an email to the Warden

on March 27, 2020; the Government attached the email as an exhibit to its opposition papers. Thus,

Gray filed his motion in this Court prior to receiving a decision from the warden or the lapse of 30

days from the warden's receipt of his request.

Accordingly, the motion is denied without prejudice. Defendant may file again with this

court after he has received a decision from the warden or after the lapse of 30 days, with no decision

from the warden.

IT IS SO ORDERED.